

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONE FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	iO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,015 02/23/2004		02/23/2004	Yuan-Hui Tsai	TSA13096/EM	7495
23364	7590	03/09/2005		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC				WUJCIAK, ALFRED J	
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR		NE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXAN	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3632	
				DATE MAILED: 03/09/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ž,				
	10/783,015	TSAI, YUAN-HUI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alfred Joseph Wujciak III	3632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply. Be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	28 December 2004						
	This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo		rs, prosecution as to the merits	is				
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ol the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc rrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been received.	plication No eceived in this National Stage	-				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/783,015

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the 2nd Office Action for the serial number 10/783,015, CHRISTMAS TREE STAND, filed on 2/23/04.

Drawings

The drawings were received on 12/28/04. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent # 4,763,866 to Sinchok in view of US Patent # 5,233,742 to Gray et al.

Sinchok teaches a tree stand (figure 2) comprising a main frame including a main tube (19) and a pair of first supporting legs (11,15). The stand includes an auxiliary frame (21,23) with upper and lower collars. The auxiliary frame further including a pair of second supporting legs (13,17) extending from the upper and lower collars respectively.

Sinchok teaches the upper collar (21) but fails to teach the upper collar includes a flange extending inwardly and rotatably resting onto the upper edge of the upper shoulder, however in figure 5 of Sinchok's invention shows the lower collar (23) having flange (25) extending upwardly. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/783,015 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

invention was made to have added flange to Sinchok's upper collar to provide security for remaining connected to the upper shoulder of the main tube.

Sinchok teaches the tube but fails to teach the shoulder having upper and lower shoulders. Gray et al. teaches tube (10) with the shoulder (located at top part of element 10 and bottom part of element 12, where element 10 extends inwardly toward element 12) having upper edge. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Sinchok's tube with upper and lower shoulders as taught by Gray et al. to provide convenience for sliding the collar onto the tube.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sinchok in view of Gray et al. and in further view of US Patent # 5,290,004 to Frost et al.

Sinchok teaches the main tube and upper collar but fails to teach the main tube and upper collar are locked by a bolt. Frost et al. teaches the stand having a main tube (2) and auxiliary frame (8 generally) lockable into desired position by a bolt (24). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added bolt to Sinchok's main tube and upper collar as taught by Frost et al. to provide a convenience for locking the stand in a desired position.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,015

Art Unit: 3632

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Joseph Wujciak III whose telephone number is

(703) 306-5994. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A Braun can be reached on 703 308 2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alfred Joseph Wujciak III

A Jaylly Co

Examiner

Art Unit 3632

2/22/05